**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI**

**THURSDAY, THE 13TH DECEMBER 2007 / 22ND AGRAHAYANA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 29977 of 2007(R)**

**PETITIONER:**

**RADHAMONI W/O.THULASEEDAS,**
**AGED 37 YEARS, RAJESH BHAVANAM, KALARI,**
**PANMANA, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLADM DISTRICT.**
**(FORMER PART TIME SWEEPER, GOVT. HOSPITAL,**
**NEENDAKARA, PUTHENTHURA P.O., KOLLAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.R.PARAMESWARAN NAIR**
**SRI.C.UNNIKRISHNAN (KOLLAM)**

**RESPONDENTS:**

**1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY**
**THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL ADMINISTRATION,**
**GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**2. CHAVARA BLOCK PANCHAYATH COMMITTEE,**
**REPRESENTED BY IT'S SECRETARY, CHAVARA BLOCK**
**PANCHAYATH OFFICE, CHAVARA, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK,**
**KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**3. SUPERINTENDENT,**
**GOVT. HOSPITAL, NEENDAKARA, PTHENTHURA P.O.,**
**KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**4. V.MADHU, PRESIDENT,**
**CHAVARA BLOCK PANCHAYATH COMMITTEE,**
**CHAVARA, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/12/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**V.GIRI,J.**

**----------**

**W.P ( C) No. 29977 of 2007**

**----------**

**Dated this the 13th December, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner claims that she was employed as a Casual Sweeper at the Government Hospital, Neendakara pursuant to Exhibit-P1 decision taken by the Chavara Block Panchayat Committee. The sweeping area serviced by the petitioner is in excess of 100 Sq. mtrs and she continued in service, she contends. But the 3rd respondent has denied employment thereafter. Exhibit-P5 representation was submitted by the petitioner seeking re-engagement and regularisation of service. In my view, the same may be forwarded to the Government to be considered in accordance with law.

After having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader also, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to forward Exhibit-P5 to the 1st respondent, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Since the writ petition is being disposed of at the admission stage, petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a representation before the 2nd respondent, for compliance. On receipt of Exhibit-P5 from the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent shall take a decision thereon, in accordance with law, within three months thereafter.

**(V.GIRI, JUDGE)**

ma

**K.THANKAPPAN,J**

**CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999**

**ORDER**

**25th May, 2007**